


SECRET

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3



73-1424

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Bigot Lists

1. The Management Committee minutes on MCA-59, "Bigot Lists," note that:

"...it was decided to modify the 24 August 1973 referent memorandum as follows: Community-wide sensitive projects dealing with intelligence will be identified to the DCI along with the name of the project manager responsible for each. Lists of individuals associated with a project will be maintained by the pertinent project manager and made available to the DCI upon request. [redacted] will draft a memorandum along the above lines for submission to the DCI."

25X1

2. The requested memorandum is attached for your signature.

25X1

[redacted signature box]

Daniel O. Graham  
Major General, USA  
D/DCI/IC

Attachment

cc: DDCI  
ER

Memo is unclassified upon  
removal of attachment.

[redacted box]

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

SECRET

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

UNCLASSIFIED	CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
--------------	--------------	--------

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

# EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT

## Routing Slip

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI				
2	DDCI				
3	S/MC				
4	DDS&T				
5	DDI				
6	DDM&S				
7	DDO				
8	D/DCI/IC	X			
9	D/DCI/NIO				
10	OGC				
11	OLC				
12	IG				
13	Compt				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/S				
16	DTR				
17	Asst/DCI				
18	AO/DCI				
19					
20					
21					
22					
SUSPENSE		Date			

### Remarks:

Perhaps the response will be EYES ONLY but I doubt that this should be. How about SECRET or SECRET/SENSITIVE? If you agree, please have someone redo.

Exec   
27 December 1973  
Date

25X1

DCI/DDCI

Routing Slip

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

TO: \*

		ACTION	INFO.			ACTION	INFO.
1	DCI			11	IG		
2	DDCI			12	D/PPB		
3	DDS&T			13	SAVA		
4	DDI			14	ASST/DCI		
5	DDO			15	AO/DCI		
6	DDM&S			16	EX/SEC		
7	D/DCI/IC	✓		17			
8	D/ONE			18			
9	GC			19			
10	LC			20			

*For*  
*2 Jan 74*

SUSPENSE

Date

Remarks:

*No — Let's get a  
Big List system  
established then feed  
activities into ~~it~~  
gradually*

DCI/DDCI

*1/2/74*

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

25 February 1974

**MEMORANDUM FOR: Inspector General**

**SUBJECT: Classification/Declassification of  
Information**

Attached is the DCI/IC Staff response to your memorandum dated 11 February 1974 requesting classification/declassification information.

**EO/DCI/IC**

STAT

**Enclosure:**

**Distribution:**

- O & 1 - Addressee
- 1 - IC Admin
- 1 - AO/DCI
- ✓ 1 - IC Registry

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

**COMPONENT: ICS**

1. Six officers have classification authority for TOP SECRET, twenty-eight officers have classification authority for SECRET, none for CONFIDENTIAL.
2. Six officers have authority to exempt.
3. Classification officers are selected according to the position they occupy on the T/O.
4. The number of papers classified by each officer each month varies by office - the span is from 36 to 8.
5. Yes, classification officers receive a copy of their personnel actions which give the NSCA.
6. Yes, secretaries know the level of classification authority for their supervisors.
7. No, we do not have a training course for classification officers.
8. Not applicable
9. Not applicable
10. Yes, new officers are allowed to exercise classification authority.
11. We have discussions and meetings to familiarize employees with the Executive Order. In addition, we periodically circulate the NSC Directive and
12. No, we do not have any written office guidelines - this is left to the discretion of the officers.
13. No, other than checks made by the head of each component and he would be responsible in determining whether material is properly classified.
14.  Executive Officer
15. No, we have not established a system for review of material previously classified with the object of downgrading or declassifying.

-2-

16. Approximately 100% of our material is exempt from declassification.
17. Category 2 - 100%
18. We use "Warning Notice" when the subject matter deals with sensitive methods and sources.
19. No, we do not have pre-classified forms - we use pre-classified forms that are the responsibility of other offices.
20. Yes, there has been a significant change in classification levels. Each classifier is more sensitive to the levels and is using more discretion in making classifying decisions. At the present time many papers are identified as "Admin-Internal Use Only" and are not classified secret or below, which is a practice we did not formerly follow.
21. Yes, officers with classification authority are permitted to determine classification without review by the head of the operating component.

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

11 FEB 1974

MEMORANDUM FOR: Office of the Director (Mr. Evans)  
Deputy Director for Operations  
Deputy Director for Intelligence  
Deputy Director for Management & Services  
Deputy Director for Science & Technology

SUBJECT : Classification/Declassification of Information

1. All government departments and agencies are required to conduct an annual review of their own administration of directives on classification, downgrading, declassification and safeguarding of National Security information. In this connection, the Office of the Inspector General is involved in a survey to determine whether Agency officers with classification authority are complying with the guidelines established under Executive Order 11652, the NSC Directive of 17 May 1972, and [ ] We have prepared the attached questionnaire which we are asking you to forward to your operating components. It would be helpful if we could have their responses no later than 28 February 1974.

2. It is important to note that substantive intelligence and cable traffic are not relevant to the survey, and responses to the questionnaire should therefore exclude these categories.

3. The Inspection Team will also conduct random interviews in each Directorate and will spot-check files as necessary to complete the survey. It is not the intent of this survey to identify specific classification abuses.

[ ]  
S. D. Breckinridge  
Acting Inspector General

Attachment A/S

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

~~ADMINISTRATIVE-INTERNAL USE ONLY~~



ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

COMPONENT: \_\_\_\_\_

1. How many officers in your component have classification authority for TOP SECRET \_\_\_\_\_, SECRET \_\_\_\_\_, CONFIDENTIAL \_\_\_\_\_?
2. How many officers in your component have authority to exempt?
3. How are classification officers selected?
4. How many papers are classified by each officer each month?
5. Do classification officers have written delegation of authority to classify?
6. Do secretaries know level of classification authority for their supervisors?
7. Do you have a training course for classification officers?
8. How often is the course run?
9. Do you have refresher training? If so, how often?
10. Are new officers allowed to exercise classification authority before training?
11. Do you have a program to familiarize all employees with the Executive Order, the NSC Directive and HR 10-23?
12. Are there any written office guidelines on determination of level of classification?
13. Are there any checks to determine whether material is properly classified? If so, who is responsible? Describe the system.
14. Who is the component authority in case of dispute on level of classification or exemption from declassification?

(See other side)

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

15. Have you established any system for review of material previously classified with the object of downgrading or declassifying?
16. What percentage of your material is exempt from declassification?
17. What percentage of exempted material is covered by Exemption Category 1?      2?      3?      4?
18. Under what circumstances do you use Warning Notice - Sensitive Intelligence Sources and Methods Involved?
19. Do you use pre-classified forms? How often is classification reviewed?
20. In general, has there been a significant change in classification levels used on written material since the issuance of Executive Order 11652? Please compare FY-72 and FY-73 to arrive at your estimate.
21. Are officers with classification authority actually permitted to determine classification without review by the head of the operating component?

Handwritten: 11/03

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

**ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY**

**SECRET**

74-510007-1

6 MAR 1974

0961  
DCI/IC 74-0961

Lt. General Lew Allen, Jr. (USAF)  
Director, National Security Agency  
Ft. George G. Meade, Maryland

Dear Lew:

On 28 February I had the good fortune to hear an extremely compelling briefing on COMSEC, as presented by NSA's [redacted] [redacted] Our auditorium was packed, and interest remained keen throughout. I know that those who heard the briefing received a very strong appreciation of the US vulnerability to technical penetration of our communications. Special thanks go to [redacted] for his careful organization and articulation of this potentially complicated subject.

As you know, I feel a strong need to develop ways to protect the security of US intelligence information. Certainly one way to do this is to have such lectures as this given widely in the Intelligence Community, and also at appropriate policy-making levels of the government. I hope that you will take the initiative to see that this is done. If I can be of any assistance in such a program, please call on me.

Sincerely,

/s/ Bill

W. B. Colby

DCI/IC/CS: [redacted] ho 4 Mar 74

Distribution:

Orig. - addressee  
1 - DCI  
1 - DDCI  
1 - BR  
① - IC Registry  
1 - CS subject  
1 - CS chrono  
1 - [redacted] chrono  
1 - US/B



**SECRET**

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

## INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY STAFF SUMMARY SHEET

ROUTE TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE	ORIGINATOR (Symbol)	TELEPHONE NO.	DATE
1. C/CS	<i>Coord.</i>		DCI/IC/CS		4 Mar 74
2. PD/DCI/IC	<i>coord.</i>				
3. DCI	signature				
			SIGNATURE		
					
			TYPED G		
				TYPIST'S INITIALS	
				ho	

## SUBJECT

Short Thank you to NSA for COMSEC Briefing on February 28, 1974.

## REMARKS

DCI Signature.

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

Text 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3



SUBJECT: Invitation to a Communications Security Lecture

lecture extremely interesting, beneficial, and it will serve to provide an excellent background of the Soviet threat as well as some U.S. vulnerabilities. Therefore, I urge the widest possible attendance from managers and especially those officers engaged in the operational, security, and counter-intelligence fields.

3. It is anticipated that the lecture will run two hours. Attendees must have a Top Secret Clearance and possess the following compartmented clearances:



STAT

4. Please forward, by 7 January, the names of appropriately cleared personnel whom you wish to attend to   
Communications Security Staff, 612 Magazine Building, extension 

STAT



Director of Communications

STAT

cc: ES

Distribution:

- 1 - Each Addressee
- 1 - ES





STAT

JMC's comments:

Please prepare appropriate note. Note to  
Secretariat to remind DCI to mention this at USIB.

STAT

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3

Approved For Release 2004/04/13 : CIA-RDP80M01082A000600190007-3